ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA731005 03/02/2016

Filing date:

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

| Proceeding                | 92063027   |
|---------------------------|--|
| Party                     | Defendant Justice Beverages LLC  |
| Correspondence<br>Address | JUSTICE BEVERAGES LLC<br>3016 WAVERLY DR , SUITE 105<br>LOS ANGELES, CA 90039<br>UNITED STATES |
| Submission                | Answer and Counterclaim  |
| Filer's Name              | Steve Lory   |
| Filer's e-mail            | stevel77@juno.com  |
| Signature                 | /Steve Lory/   |
| Date                      | 03/02/2016   |
| Attachments               | ANSWER 0 DESIGN TRADEMARK COMPLAINT 3-2-16.pdf(2110390 bytes)                                  |

## Registration Subject to the filing

| Registration No    | 3713775  | Registration date | 11/24/2009 |
|--------------------|--|-------------------|------------|
| Registrant         | VINOLIO EXPORTS AND IMPORTS, LLC<br>1000 Main Street, Suite 200<br>NAPA, CA 94559<br>UNITED STATES |                   |            |
| Grounds for filing | The registered mark has been abandoned.  |                   |            |
|                    | The registration was obtained  | fraudulently.     |            |

## Goods/Services Subject to the filing

Class 033. First Use: 2007/09/04 First Use In Commerce: 2007/09/04
All goods and services in the class are requested, namely: Wine

| 1  | Certificate of Electronic Filing  Liberally contify that this compared done is being all attention. He file I will be Tourist II. The I will be I |
|----|---|
| 2  | I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed with the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board through the ESTTA system.  Dated: March 2, 2016  By: Week Rand  |
| 3  | Dated: March 2, 2016  By: Meg Razi  |
| 5  |   |
| 6  |   |
| 7  |   |
| 8  |   |
| 9  | IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  |
| 10 | BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 | VINOLIO EXPORTS AND IMPORTS, LLC.   |
| 13 | Petitioner,   |
| 14 | CANCELLATION NO: 92063027   |
| 15 | ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND COUNTERCLAIM TO PETITION  |
| 16 | JUSTICE BEVERAGES, LLC. ) FOR CANCELLATION )  |
| 17 | Registrant. )   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 | Registrant, Justice Beverages, LLC ("Registrant") for its Answer,   |
| 23 | Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaim to the Petition for Cancellation filed  |
| 24 | by Vinolio Exports and Imports, LLC ("Vinolio") against Registrant for the  |
| 25 | continued registration of Justice's trademark "JUSTICE VODKA",  |
| 26 | Registration Number 4,700,836 pleads and avers as follows:  |
| 27 |   |
| 28 |   |

| 1  | Answer to Petition for Cancellation  |
|----|--|
| 2  |  |
| 3  | 1. Registrant admits the allegations of ¶ 1 with the exception that it denies            |
| 4  | such allegations with regard to Petitioner's use of their subject Trademark and          |
| 5  | dating of such use allegations of Petitioner's Trademark by Petitioner.                  |
| 6  | 2. Registrant admits the allegations of $\P 2$ .   |
| 7  | 3. Registrant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 3.                         |
| 8  | 4. Registrant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 4.                         |
| 9  | 5. Registrant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 5.                         |
| 10 | 6. Registrant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 6.                         |
| 11 | 7. Registrant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 7.                         |
| 12 |  |
| 13 | Affirmative Defenses   |
| 14 |  |
| 15 | First Affirmative Defense  |
| 16 | 8. There is no likelihood of confusion, mistake or deception because, inter alia, the    |
| 17 | Trademark of Petitioner and the Trademark of Registrant are not confusingly similar      |
| 18 |  |
| 19 | Second Affirmative Defense   |
| 20 | 9. Petitioner's rights in and to its alleged "Justice" trademark are generic.            |
| 21 | Petitioner's alleged Mark is therefore inherently unprotectable absent acquired          |
| 22 | distinctiveness, which the Petitioner's "Justice" Trademark lacks.                       |
| 23 | Third Affirmative Defense  |
| 24 | Latches  |
| 25 | 10. Registrant has been using their Trademark, developing consumer recognition and       |
| 26 | goodwill through an online advertising campaign, regular advertising in popular          |
| 27 | print media as well as logo hat, logo tee-shirt and postcard distribution since at least |
| 28 | December 1, 2014.  |

14. The instant cancellation action is the direct result of Petitioner wanting to move outside the wine business and into the spirits business. Petitioner had applied for two new Trademarks on October 2, 2014 "Extreme Justice" and "Justice 6.0". The applications (#86413595 and #86413599) were suspended by the USPTO due to likelihood of confusion with Registrant's Trademark.

15. On January 29, 2016 Petitioner represented that they have filed cancellation actions against Registrant as a direct response to the office action they received for their new Trademark Applications.

16. Petitioner's act in bad Faith by bringing the instant action asserting current "confusion in the marketplace" as the basis for their cancellation action when in truth and fact they know that no such confusion exists. The action of Petitioner should be dismissed due to Petitioner's Bad Faith/Unclean Hands and their tacit admission herein that the instant action is brought without basis as alleged.

| 1  | Fifth Affirmative Defense  |
|----|--|
| 2  | Estoppel   |
| 3  | 17. Petitioner has knowingly allowed the Trademarks "Rough Justice" (for wine),        |
| 4  | "Chief Justice" (for wine) and "Justus Beer" (for Beer) to coexist in the market       |
| 5  | alongside their own Trademark. Additionally, the Mark "Sweet Justice" (for wine        |
| 6  | although not registered) is a currently being used in the wine market.                 |
| 7  | 18. Petitioner overtly agrees to allow other Marks with the word "Justice" to          |
| 8  | peacefully coexist in the wine market knowing that due to the generic nature of the    |
| 9  | word "Justice" consumers are not confused as to source of such goods.                  |
| 10 | 19. Based on the equitable doctrine of estoppel, Petitioner is barred from taking the  |
| 11 | contradictory position as is alleged in the instant matter.                            |
| 12 |  |
| 13 | Sixth Affirmative Defense  |
| 14 | 20. Petitioner has never used the Trademark "Justice" and is therefore not entitled to |
| 15 | use the Trademark "Justice" and therefore no confusion can exist as alleged.           |
| 16 | Seventh Affirmative Defense  |
| 17 | 21. Likelihood of confusion does not exist because the respective good of the parties  |
| 18 | (Registrant's very low priced Vodka and Petitioner's extremely high priced wine),      |
| 19 | do not travel in the same channels of trade.   |
| 20 |  |
| 21 | COUNTERCLAIM FOR CANCELLATION  |
| 22 | OF PETITIONER'S TRADEMARK  |
| 23 | Justice Beverages, LLC ("Petitioner") hereby petitions to cancel U.S. Trademark        |
| 24 | Registration No. 3713775 (for the Trademark "JUSTICE") on the following grounds        |
| 25 | 22. Justice Beverages, LLC (Petitioner) is a California Limited Liability              |
| 26 | Corporation having a place of business at 2000 Riverside Dr. Suite 306, Los            |
| 27 | Angeles, CA 90039.   |
| 28 | 23. According to the records of the USPTO, the current owner of U.S. Trademark         |

| 1  | Registration No. 3713775 is VINOLIO EXPORTS AND IMPORTS, LLC. ("                    |
|----|---|
| 2  | Registrant") a Corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with a business    |
| 3  | address at 1040 Main Street, Suite 300, Napa, California 94559.                     |
| 4  | 24. Petitioner is engaged in the field of marketing and promoting the "JUSTICE      |
| 5  | VODKA" brand (Registration Number 4,700,836) and has an interest in maintaining     |
| 6  | the integrity of that brand.  |
| 7  | 25. On information and belief, and based on the specimens provided to the United    |
| 8  | State Patent Trademark Office on August 14, 2008 and June 16, 2015 by Registrant,   |
| 9  | Registrant has never used the JUSTICE Trademark in connection with the goods        |
| 10 | identified in U.S. Trademark Registration No. 3713775 and intends not to use such   |
| 11 | Trademark.  |
| 12 | 26. On information and belief, and based on the specimens provided to the United    |
| 13 | State Patent Trademark Office on August 14, 2008 and June 16, 2015, Registrant      |
| 14 | has not used the "JUSTICE" Trademark in connection with the goods identified in     |
| 15 | U.S. Trademark Registration No. 3713775 for at least three years and intends not to |
| 16 | resume such use.  |
| 17 | 27. Registrant has only used the Trademarks "Justice is Served", "Poetic Justice",  |
| 18 | "Frontier Justice", and "Blind Justice" as its Mark for Wine products. Each of the  |
| 19 | foregoing Trademarks are Registered with the USPTO. Registrant has never used       |
| 20 | the Trademark " Justice".   |
| 21 | 28. As a result of its non-use of the JUSTICE Trademark in connection with the      |
| 22 | goods identified in U.S. Trademark Registration No. 3713775 with an intention not   |
| 23 | to resume use, Registrant has abandoned the JUSTICE Trademark with respect to       |
| 24 | those goods within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1064(3).                              |
| 25 |   |
| 26 | 29. As a result of Registrant never using the JUSTICE Trademark in connection       |
| 27 | with the goods identified in U.S. Trademark Registration No. 3713775, Registrant    |

| 1  | has falsely stated a first date use and continued date of use to the United State Patent |
|----|--|
| 2  | Trademark Office causing the Trademark to cancel under 15 U.S.C. § 1064(3).              |
| 3  | 30. The United State Patent Trademark Office relied upon the false statements of         |
| 4  | Registrant and misleading specimens in allowing the registration and continued           |
| 5  | registration of Petitioner's Trademark. Such registration would not have been            |
| 6  | allowed had it been known to the United State Patent Trademark Office that such          |
| 7  | false statements and specimens had been submitted to obtain such registration of said    |
| 8  | Trademark.   |
| 9  | WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays that the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board:                   |
| 10 | 1. DENY the Petition of Vinolio Exports and Imports, LLC for Cancellation                |
| 11 | of Justice Beverages LLC Trademark Number 4,700,836.                                     |
| 12 | 2. GRANT Justice Beverages, LLC.'s Petition to Cancel U.S. Trademark                     |
| 13 | Registration No. 3713775 of Vinolio Exports and Imports, LLC based on a)                 |
| 14 | the abandonment of such Trademark and b) the falsity of the data submitted in            |
| 15 | support of such original Trademark application.  |
| 16 | 3. Allow Justice Beverages, LLC. Costs and Fees to the extent allowable under            |
| 17 | law against Vinolio Exports and Imports, LLC.  |

19

20

18

21

22 23 DATED: March 2, 2016

24

25

26

27

28

By:

Steve Lory

Attorney At Law 3016 Waverly Drive, Ste 105

Los Angeles, California 90039

310 409-9309

Attorneys for Registrant JUSTICE BEVERAGES, LLC.

| 1   | PROOF OF SERVICE  |
|-----|---|
| 2   | I declare that I am over the age of 18 years, employed in the County of LOS ANGELES, and not a            |
| 3   | party to the within action; my business address is 2000 Riverside Drive, Los Angeles, California          |
| 4   | 90039.  |
| 5   | On March 2, 2016, I placed a copy of the following document(s):   |
| 6   |   |
| 7 8 | ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES, AND COUNTERCLAIM TO PETITION FOR CANCELLATION Re: CANCELLATION NO: 92063027 |
| 9   | in a sealed envelope addressed as shown below and placing the envelope for collection and                 |
| 10  | mailing following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business'              |
| 11  | practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that                   |
| 12  | correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of            |
| 13  | business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.           |
| 14  | The persons served are as follows:  |
| 15  | J. Scott Gerien; Christopher Passarelli   |
| 16  | Dickenson Peatman & Fogarty   |
| 17  | 1455 First St., Ste. 301<br>Napa, CA 94559  |
| 18  |   |
| 19  |   |
| 20  | I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the                     |
| 21  | foregoing is true and correct.  |
| 22  | Executed March 2, 2016, at San Diego, California.   |
| 23  | By: Mey Pazi  |
| 24  | By: VVCFY FAGE  |
| 25  |   |
| 26  |   |